



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,572	01/10/2002	Neil Miles	IPPM Case 7	7931
7590 09/22/2004			EXAMINER	
	EL, BOUTELL & TAN	MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH		
2026 Rambling Road Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/043,572	MILES, NEIL				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT void abandonment of this application is a timely filed amendment which	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension				
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: Not in sequence compliance. See Office Action dated March 29, 2004.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other:						
	Bruce R. Supervisory	CAMPELL, PH.D PATENT EXAMINER GY CENTER 1600				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)